

Mind the Gap: A Study in Global Development through Persistent Homology

Andrew Banman and Lori Ziegelmeier

Abstract The Gapminder project set out to use statistics to dispel simplistic notions about global development. In the same spirit, we use persistent homology, a technique from computational algebraic topology, to explore the relationship between country development and geography. For each country, two statistics, gross domestic product per capita and average life expectancy, were used to quantify the development. Two analyses were performed. The first considers clusters of the countries based on these two statistics, and the second uncovers cycles in the data when combined with geographic network structure. Our analyses reveal that there is not a clear distinction of “first” and “third” world countries, and we discovered localized development patterns that are invisible in standard representations.

1 Introduction

The Gapminder World [7] project provides a viewpoint of global development through a statistical lens. The first chart that loads in Gapminder plots each country’s gross domestic product (GDP) against the life expectancy of its citizens, see Figure 1. The project equates GDP per capita with a nation’s wealth and life expectancy with its health. Countries are color-coded by their broad geographic region: the Americas, Eurasia, etc. A time lapse animation shows countries transitioning along a common trajectory towards more wealth and health, telling a common story about global development. However, it is not clear what role geography plays in this trend. While one may say that most African nations lag behind most Eurasian

Andrew Banman

University of Minnesota, 3 Morrill Hall 100 Church St. S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455, e-mail: banma001@umn.edu

Lori Ziegelmeier

Macalester College, 1600 Grand Avenue, Saint Paul, MN 55105, e-mail: lziegel1@macalester.edu




Fig. 1 Gapminder’s “Health & Wealth of Nations” chart.

nations, it is difficult to draw any finer conclusions solely from these two statistics, as each region spans a large range of the development statistics. Furthermore, Gapminder’s pre-determined regions have been chosen according to a convention rather than from the data. For instance, it splits the African continent into Northern and Sub-Saharan regions, isolates India and a few of its neighbors, and joins Australia with Southern Asian countries. These regions do not necessarily align with regions of differing development.

We seek a quantifiable, fine-grained, and unbiased method to analyze development and geographic trends in this data. Persistent homology [8, 2, 5] gives us tools to uncover the structure of high dimensional, complicated data, revealing groups (connected components), cycles (loops), and cavities in the data at multiple scales. Persistent homology has been used to understand the topological structure of data arising from applications including [9, 12, 4, 3, 13, 16]. In particular, the paper [14] analyzes data related to the recent, so-called, “Brexit” referendum using persistent homology.

We use the persistent homology technique to expand on Gapminder’s study of health and wealth statistics. We explore two methods (1) computing the connected components of the statistics on their own and (2) adding the underlying geography to the statistics by constructing a weighted network based on country borders to observe cycles in the data. The structure of the data is uncovered at multiple scales. Our analyses reveal that there is no clear distinction of “first” versus “third” world countries but shows a clustering of the developmental data using these statistics as well as loops formed by countries geographically linked.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Background on the computational approach of persistent homology is discussed in Section 2. Section 3 outlines the statistics we use to quantify health and wealth of nations. In Section 4, we discuss our implementation of persistent homology computations on these statistics, and we analyze the results of these computations in Section 5. Conclusions and future work are discussed in Section 6.

2 Background on persistent homology

Persistent homology is a computational approach to topology that encodes a parameterized family of homological features such as connected components, loops, trapped volumes, etc. It allows one to answer basic questions about the structure of point clouds at multiple scales. As such, it can uncover the “shape” of data. Broadly, this procedure involves (1) interpreting a point cloud as a noisy sampling of a topological space, (2) creating a global object by forming connections between proximate points based on a scale parameter, (3) determining the topological structure made by these connections, and (4) looking for structures that persist across different scales. For foundational material and overviews of computational homology in the setting of persistence, see [5, 6, 8, 2, 17].

Beginning with a finite set of data points, a nested sequence of simplicial complexes indexed by a parameter ε may be created by taking the vertices as the data points and forming a k -simplex whenever $k + 1$ points are pairwise within distance ε . This procedure is known as the *Vietoris-Rips* complex which is often used for its computational tractability [8]. Fixing a field $\mathbb{F}$ for each simplicial complex, one builds an associated chain complex of vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$. For each pair $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, there is a pair of simplicial complexes, S_{ε_1} and S_{ε_2} , and an inclusion map $j : S_{\varepsilon_1} \hookrightarrow S_{\varepsilon_2}$. This inclusion map induces a chain map between the associated chain complexes which further induces a linear map between the corresponding k^{th} homology vector spaces. The dimension of the k^{th} homology vector space is known as the k^{th} Betti number $\beta_k(\mathbb{K})$ and corresponds to the number of connected components, loops, trapped volumes, etc. of the topological space for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, respectively.

The k^{th} barcode is a way of presenting the Betti numbers [8]. From the barcode, one can visualize the Betti numbers as a function of the scale ε and can visualize the number of independent homology classes that persist across a given filtration interval $[\varepsilon_b, \varepsilon_d]$. See the top row of Figure 2 for an example β_0 barcode and the bottom row of Figure 2 for an example β_1 barcode. Each horizontal bar begins at the scale where a topological feature first appears (“is born”) and ends at the scale where the feature no longer remains (“dies”). The k^{th} Betti number at any given parameter value ε is the number of bars that intersect the vertical line through ε . For β_0 in our setting, there will be a distinct bar for each point at small values of ε , as the simplicial complex S_ε consists only of isolated points. At large values of ε , only one bar remains as all data will eventually connect into a single component.

The idea of persistence is to not only consider the homology for a single specified choice of parameter ε but rather, track topological features through a range of parameters [8]. Those which persist over a large range of values are considered signals of underlying topology, while the short lived features are taken to be noise inherent in approximating a topological space with a finite sample [17].

3 Development statistics

There are many ways to quantify the health and wealth of nations, but for our purposes, gross domestic product (GDP) per capita and average life expectancy serve as good heuristics [10, 11]. We use the same data that generates the Gapminder chart, allowing a direct comparison of our results.

The frequency and timeliness of reporting these statistics can vary dramatically by country so any result necessarily carries the “according to available data” qualifier. We create a snapshot of current statistics by selecting the most recent data for each country within the last 20 years, excluding countries with no data in this time frame. This yields a data set comprising 244 countries. While two decades stretches the definition of “current,” shorter time frames yield smaller data sets that have a bias towards excluding countries where data is less available.

We consider only the relative health and wealth of countries, and the presence of extreme outliers in GDP obscures this relationship. Rather than exclude these countries outright, we modulate their values to two standard deviations from the mean. Alternatively, we could have taken the logarithm of GDP to bring the outliers closer to the bulk (Gapminder’s chart does this to improve visual comprehension). However, this option has the undesirable consequence of exaggerating the distance between countries with very low GDP and understating the distance between higher GDP countries. For our purposes, it made more sense to collect the richest countries into one group at the extreme of the spectrum and likewise for the poorest.

4 Computing persistent homology

For our calculations, we use the JavaPlex library, developed by the Stanford Topology Working Group [15]. This library provides an API to create a filtered simplicial complex upon which to calculate the persistent homology. The final result of the computation is a list of persistence intervals $[\varepsilon_b, \varepsilon_d]$, neatly displayed in a homology barcode, where each interval indicates a homological feature that appears at ε_b and dies at ε_d . In this section, we outline our procedure for computing persistent homology of our data. In the next, we analyze the results.

First, we interpret GDP and life expectancy data as a point cloud in $\mathbb{R}^2$ to create a Vietoris-Rips complex. This construction only makes sense if a reasonable notion of distance between countries is established. To that end, each statistic is re-scaled to




Fig. 2 Zero and First-order persistent homology barcodes for GDP per capita, life expectancy in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

$[-1, 1]$ with the poorest and shortest-living countries closer to -1 and the richest and longest-living countries closer to 1 .¹ GDP would dominate life expectancy without this scaling. We then apply the Euclidean metric to define the distance between two countries x and y :

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_{\text{GDP}} - y_{\text{GDP}})^2 + (x_{\text{LifeExp}} - y_{\text{LifeExp}})^2}$$

Figure 2 shows the zero-order barcode for GDP and life expectancy as a point cloud in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The first-order barcode is also shown for reference, although each homological feature appears to be short-lived and is thus insignificant.

Next, we add the geographic structure to the data by constructing a weighted graph over the countries and their borders. From country border data [1], we define an adjacency matrix A

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if countries } i, j \text{ share a border,} \\ 0 & \text{if countries } i, j \text{ do not share a border} \end{cases}$$

¹ Note that this does not imply zero is the average value for either statistic. There are many more relatively low GDP countries, even after dealing with outliers.




Fig. 3 Zero and first-order persistent homology barcodes for in the country border network with distance D as the edge weight.

from which we arrive at the distance matrix D ,

$$D_{i,j} = \begin{cases} d(i,j) & \text{if } A_{i,j} = 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } A_{i,j} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where, for practicality, infinity is set to be a number larger than the maximum filtration value. We then compute the persistent homology of the explicit metric space defined by D .² The zero and first-order persistent homology barcodes of GDP and life expectancy over geography is shown in Figure 3. In this framework, our focus is on the first-order barcode. Longer intervals are construed to represent more significant homology classes, whereas shorter intervals are noise in the data.

This method excludes island nations with no bordering countries. These countries are visible in the 0-dimension barcode in Figure 3 as connected components persisting through the full length of the filtration. Naturally, these countries share no borders, so their distance between any other country is infinite in our graph. An alternate method might be to artificially add a shared border between an island nation and its nearest neighbor.

² It has been observed that, for the Vietoris-Rips complex, the metric in question need not actually be a metric as it is not a requirement to satisfy the triangle inequality. The construction described here is also known as a weighted rank clique complex. For example, [14].

5 Parsing the barcodes

5.1 Clustering of development groups

Zero-order homology classes represent connected components in the simplicial complex. We employ a union-find algorithm to extract the list of countries that form each component. At filtration $\varepsilon = 0$, each country is an isolated point, and hence, $\beta_0(0) = 244$. At $\varepsilon \approx 0.46$, all countries are connected into a single component, so $\beta_0(0.46) = 1$. The connected components at each scale may be viewed as a clustering in the health and wealth data, but there is no preferred filtration value.

First, we consider the large-scale structure of the data. At $\varepsilon = 0.05$ there are 198 countries in a single connected component, with most of the remaining 46 countries isolated in single-vertex components. These outlying countries join up with the main group slowly over the remaining scales. We may say this large cluster is the dominant feature of the data.

Thus, our results show countries of the world may not be neatly divided into “first world” and “third world” categories. The vast majority of countries are statistically quite similar to another country, which itself is similar to some other country, and so on. The result is a gradient in health and wealth statistics, rather than a discrete grouping. This is easily visualized in the Gapminder chart, while ignoring geographic information. One sees the countries of the world arrayed along a gradient from poorer countries with less longevity to richer, longer living countries.

We also examine the small-scale structure by looking at a smaller ε cross-section. Table 1 shows one possibility at $\varepsilon = 0.02$. While most countries fall into connected-components of one to four countries, there are five larger components that capture 92 countries. The mean statistics of each cluster show a positive correlation between GDP per capita and life expectancy. Because these clusters only exist at a small scale, the countries in each cluster must be quite close in the data. Hence, we may conceive of these groups as sets of very similar countries according to these statistics.

Another interesting cluster emerges alongside the dominant cluster at around $\varepsilon = 0.10$ and grows in size until about 0.25, after which point it joins with the main cluster. This secondary cluster, detailed in Table 2, is comprised primarily of small island nations with dramatically low GDP per capita along with some of the highest life expectancies. This discrepancy seems to contradict the notion that the health of a country is necessarily tied to its wealth.

One advantage of persistent homology as a clustering algorithm is the total lack of bias in the origination of each cluster. Further, a smaller filtration ε yields a finer clustering, whereas a relatively large ε reveals a coarser structure of the data. However, the algorithm is highly sensitive to “bridge” structures that connect one cluster to another, destroying the distinction. A bridge in our data might be a relatively poor country with high longevity connecting to a relatively wealthy country with similar longevity, thus joining a cluster of poor countries with a cluster of wealthier countries.

Table 1 Countries comprising the largest connected components in the Vietoris-Rips complex at filtration $\varepsilon = 0.02$ over $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the corresponding means of the GDP and life expectancy for each cluster.

Countries	Mean GDP	Mean LifeExp
Afghanistan, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Comoros, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Papua New Guinea, Rwanda, Senegal, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Uganda, Yemen Rep., Zimbabwe	-0.95	-0.37
Kiribati, Korea-Dem.Rep., Kyrgyzstan, Micronesia Fed. Sts., Nepal, Sao Tome and Principe, Solomon Islands, Tajikistan, Timor-Leste, Tokelau, Western Sahara	-0.95	-0.29
Bolivia, Guyana, India, Laos, Moldova, Pakistan, Philippines, Syria, Uzbekistan	-0.87	-0.29
Albania, Armenia, Belize, Bhutan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cape Verde, China, Colombia, Dominican Rep., Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Fiji, Georgia, Grenada, Guatemala, Jamaica, Jordan, Macedonia-FYR, Mayotte, Mongolia, Morocco, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Serbia, Sri Lanka, Tunisia, Ukraine	-0.76	-0.23
Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Gibraltar, Ireland, Netherlands, Sweden, Taiwan	0.79	-0.15

Table 2 Countries comprising the ancillary cluster in the Vietoris-Rips complex at filtration $\varepsilon = 0.25$ over $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the corresponding means of the GDP and life expectancy for each cluster.

Countries	Mean GDP	Mean LifeExp
Marshall Islands, Montserrat, Nauru, Niue, Norfolk Island, Northern Cyprus, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Pitcairn, Saint Barthelmy, Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Martin, Saint-Pierre-et-Miquelon, Serbia (excluding Kosovo), Svalbard, Turks and Caicos Islands, Tuvalu, Walliset Futuna	-0.84	0.86

5.2 Local development patterns

First-order homology classes represent cycles in the data, often visualized as loops around a hole. The barcode intervals tell us over what range of filtration values these cycles exist, and the interval generators tell us where in the world. Most notable of the intervals in Figure 3 are those persisting through the full range of the filtration. These cycles are inherent to the border network; they exist because nonadjacent countries have an infinite distance. Looking at a map, one can guess what they represent: the countries surrounding the Black, the Caspian, and the Mediterranean Seas.³ That these features are identified is a good sanity check for the method.

³ Note that in our border data, parsed from [1], Spain and Morocco share a border.




Fig. 4 Map of the six longest-persisting cycles (excluding those inherent in the network structure) in the country border network with distance D as the edge weight. Software-provided generators for each cycle are shown, and the involved countries are shaded.

Table 3 Generating countries in the South America cycle existing during filtration interval $[0.30, 0.50)$.

Country	GDP/capita	LifeExp
Chile	-0.44	-0.16
Peru	-0.70	-0.22
Bolivia	-0.85	-0.30
Brazil	-0.62	-0.23
Argentina	-0.54	-0.20

Table 4 Generating countries in the Western Europe cycle existing during filtration interval $[0.28, 0.51)$.

Country	GDP/capita	LifeExp
Switzerland	0.35	-0.13
Austria	0.12	-0.14
Italy	-0.16	-0.23
France	-0.07	-0.14
Germany	0.07	-0.15

More interesting are the cycles not inherent to the network structure. These cycles exist because of a pattern of similarity between country neighbors in the GDP and life expectancy data. We map out each of the six most significant intervals (excluding those inherent in the network structure) in Figure 4 and further highlight the countries generating two of these cycles in Tables 3 and 4. The cycles are distinguished by a clear maximum and minimum country in terms of development statistics.

For example, consider one generator of the South American cycle in Table 3. Out of the generating countries, Chile has the highest GDP per capita and life expectancy at $(-0.44, -0.16)$, and Bolivia has the lowest at $(-0.85, -0.30)$. Each statistic monotonically decreases as you follow the cycle from Chile to Bolivia, and monotonically increases on the way back around. A similar result holds for the Western Europe cycle displayed in Table 4. Thus, persistent homology has identified a set of nearby countries that conform to a cycle in both health and wealth statistics. In other words, we've identified a maximal and a minimal country, local to a connected region, where neighboring countries exist on a gradient between the two poles.

We must discuss an important caveat to these cycles before proceeding further. The generating countries, identified by software, are not guaranteed to be minimal in a geometric sense; they can make up any loop through the connected component that contains the cycle. However, one can find the minimal loop by examining the weight of its internal edges. For example, consider the Western European interval, $[0.28, 0.51)$, in Table 4. There are two possible internal edges: Switzerland $\rightarrow$ France and Switzerland $\rightarrow$ Italy, that come into existence at $\varepsilon = 0.41$ and $\varepsilon = 0.51$, respectively. All five countries make up the cycle over $[0.28, 0.41)$, but it shrinks at $\varepsilon = 0.41$ when $S \rightarrow F$ forms. At this point, Germany is cut off from the cycle, which persists with the four other countries until $\varepsilon = 0.51$.

The relative scale when these cycles appear reveals how similar the member countries are to one another; the sooner in the filtration they appear, the more similar we can say they are. This follows from the observation that the beginning of an interval is equivalent to the maximum weight of the cycle’s edges. Then, we expect countries in later cycles to be further apart, i.e. less statistically similar, than countries in earlier cycles. We measure this degree of similarity by the greatest distance between two countries in the cycle; whether or not they share a border. Compare the cycle in South America with the cycle involving Syria and Israel; the former’s interval starts sooner at $\varepsilon = 0.26$ and has maximum distance $d(\text{Chile}, \text{Bolivia}) = 0.44$, while the latter starts at $\varepsilon = 0.51$ and has a greater maximum distance $d(\text{Israel}, \text{Syria}) = 0.70$. The greater distance between countries in the Israel cycle translates into greater developmental disparity in that region than in South America.

6 Conclusions and further work

Our results show that the distinction between “first world” and “third world” is not clearly apparent when looking at the statistics of GDP per capita and life expectancy. In this respect, our method corroborates Gapminder’s primary result. While GDP and life expectancy were positively correlated in some clusters identified by zero-order PH, other examples exist where this was not true. Further research into the small nations that make up such an exception may reveal an interesting pattern in development or a limitation in the descriptive power of these two development statistics.

We also find geographically localized patterns that were invisible in a standard representation of the data in $\mathbb{R}^2$. First-order PH identifies cycles of development statistics among neighboring countries. In particular, these cycles identify regions of developmental disparity, be it a subtle difference between countries as in the South American case or a larger gap as in the Israel-Syria cycle. Gapminder’s pre-determined regions obfuscate these features as a country’s membership to a region implies congruence with the other members. These cycles tell a story about development in a region that would otherwise be masked by other methods.

There are many avenues for further work with our methods. One may swap out GDP per capita and life expectancy for other statistics. One may also consider any

number of statistics encoded either as points in a higher dimensional space or as weights in the network graph. Gapminder hosts a wealth of indicators that may be compared in myriad combinations.

Additionally, one may conduct a longitudinal study of the persistent homology in development statistics. We use only the most recent data in our study, but there are decades worth of statistics available. Incorporating longitudinal data would make the Betti numbers a function of both time and the filtration scale. One approach to visualize such data is the CROCKER plot discussed in [16].

7 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Henry Adams for conversations related to employing JavaPlex in this research.

References

1. The geonames geographical database. <http://www.geonames.org>. Accessed: 2017-01-28.
2. Gunnar Carlsson. Topology and data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 46(2):255–308, 2009.
3. Moo K Chung, Peter Bubenik, and Peter T Kim. Persistence diagrams of cortical surface data. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pages 386–397. Springer, 2009.
4. Yu Dabaghian, Facundo Memoli, L Frank, and Gunnar Carlsson. A topological paradigm for hippocampal spatial map formation using persistent homology. *PLoS computational biology*, 8(8):e1002581, 2012.
5. Herbert Edelsbrunner and John Harer. Persistent homology – a survey. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 453:257–282, 2008.
6. Herbert Edelsbrunner and John Harer. *Computational topology: An introduction*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
7. The Gapminder Foundation. Gapminder world. <http://www.gapminder.com/world>, 2008. Accessed: 2017-01-29.
8. Robert Ghrist. Barcodes: The persistent topology of data. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 45(1):61–75, 2008.
9. Kyle Heath, Natasha Gelfand, Maks Ovsjanikov, Mridul Aanjaneya, and Leonidas J Guibas. Image webs: Computing and exploiting connectivity in image collections. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on*, pages 3432–3439. IEEE, 2010.
10. The World Bank World Development Indicators. Gdp per capita. <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.KD>, 2013.
11. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 (GBD 2013) Age-Sex Specific All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality 1990-2013*. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), Seattle, United States, 2014.
12. Jose A Perea and John Harer. Sliding windows and persistence: An application of topological methods to signal analysis. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, pages 1–40, 2013.
13. Gurjeet Singh, Facundo Memoli, Tigran Ishkhanov, Guillermo Sapiro, Gunnar Carlsson, and Dario L Ringach. Topological analysis of population activity in visual cortex. *Journal of vision*, 8(8):11, 2008.
14. Bernadette J. Stolz, Heather A. Harrington, and Mason A. Porter. The topological “shape” of brexit. *CoRR*, abs/1610.00752, 2016.

15. Andrew Tausz, Mikael Vejdemo-Johansson, and Henry Adams. JavaPlex: A research software package for persistent (co)homology. In Han Hong and Chee Yap, editors, *Proceedings of ICMS 2014*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 8592, pages 129–136, 2014. Software available at <http://appliedtopology.github.io/javaplex/>.
16. Chad M. Topaz, Lori Ziegelmeier, and Tom Halverson. Topological data analysis of biological aggregation models. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5):e0126383, 05 2015.
17. Afra Zomorodian and Gunnar Carlsson. Computing persistent homology. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 33(2):249–274, 2005.